REMARKS

Claims 1-8, 9-19, 21-24, and 26-38 are pending, of which claims 1, 10, and 36 are independent method claims, and claim 27 is an independent computer program product claim corresponding to independent method claim 10.

The most recent Office Action rejected claims 1, 4-5, 7, 9, 21-22, 24, 26, and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,499,021 to Abu-Hakima ("Abu-Hakima"). The Office Action also rejected claims 3, 6, 10-11, 13-19, 23, 27-28, 30-35, and 37-38 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abu-Hakima in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,744 to Kloba, et al. ("Kloba"). In addition, the Office Action rejected claims 2, 12, and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Abu-Hakima in view of Kloba, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,850,517 to Verkler et al. ("Verkler").

Applicants submit herewith a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131, which is executed by each available inventor, as well as a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 executed on behalf of the unavailable inventor by the assignee properly qualified under § 1.47 (both Declarations collectively referred to as "Declaration"). The enclosed Declaration establishes invention of the subject matter of the rejected claims (and any amendments thereof) of the instant application "prior to the effective date" of the reference(s) on which the present rejection is based. In particular, the enclosed Declaration establishes the conception or reduction to practice of Applicants' invention at least as early as October 1997¹, and thus prior to the effective date of at least the Abu-Hakima and Kloba references. The enclosed Declaration further establishes due diligence from this point up "to the filing of the application." 37 C.F.R. § 1.131.

¹ Applicants do not acquiesce to any particular conception or reduction to practice date, and, as such reserve the right to show – at another point as may be required – earlier conception (and accompanying diligence) and/or earlier reduction to practice other than what is recited herein or recited in the accompanying *Declaration*.

Application No. 09/771,338 Response "E" dated August 16, 2006 Reply to Office Action mailed March 20, 2006

Accordingly, the §102 and §103 rejections of record of independent claims 1, 10, and 36

and corresponding dependent claims are now moot. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request

reconsideration and allowance for the above-identified application.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this

application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to

contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 16th day of August, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael J. Frodsham/

RICK D. NYDEGGER Registration No. 28,651

MICHAEL J. FRODSHAM Registration No. 48,699

Attorneys for Applicant Customer No. 47973

MJF:opc MJF0000000530V001.DOC